

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/387,796	09/01/1999		CATHERINE CHRISTENSEN	ST9-99-084	3026
26381	7590	10/31/2002			
LACASSE & ASSOCIATES, LLC				EXAMINER	
1725 DUKE STREET SUITE 650 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				NGUYEN, THOMAS T	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2174	-
				DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

Advisory Action

Application No. **09/387,796**

Applicant(s)

Christensen et al.

Examiner

THOMAS T. NGUYEN

Art Unit 2174



6. ☒ 7. ☒	by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 5-10, 12-16, and 18-22 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 5-10, 12-16, and 18-22
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an
6. 🛭	by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
5. X	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not shown good and sufficient reason why the new issues and continuation-in-part were not earlier presented.
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Office action (see claims 1,5,7,15,18,21,22).
(0)	NOTE: A broader feature such as color scheme is "associated" with the icon was not recited prior to the Final
(d)	issues for appeal; and/or they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
2. 🗵	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
ap se	A Notice of Appeal was filed on
Ex	final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Rensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
(RCE)) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
allow	tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for rance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination
roject	REPLY FILED <u>Oct 16, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Perfore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final
	efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a fination under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for

Art Unit: 2174

13

Declaration - The Declaration filed on October 8, 2002 is invalid because signatures are

missing.

Petition - It appears the applicant is attempting to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.47 &

1,48 but these must each be filed as separate papers since 37 CFR 1.47 petitions are

decided by the petitions office.

ttn,

October 29, 2002